

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 JAMES C. STRINGER,

No. CIV S-04-0551-LKK-CMK-P

12 Petitioner,

13 vs.

ORDER

14 MICHAEL HARRISON, et al.,

15 Respondents.
16 _____/

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding with appointed counsel, brings this petition
18 for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United
19 States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Eastern District of California local rules.

20 On March 9, 2007, the magistrate judge filed amended findings and
21 recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any
22 objections to the amended findings and recommendations were to be filed within ten days.
23 Timely objections to the amended findings and recommendations have been filed.


24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
25 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire
26 file, the court finds the amended findings and recommendations to be supported by the record

1 and by proper analysis.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 3 1. The amended findings and recommendations filed March 9, 2007, are
4 adopted in full;
- 5 2. Petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus is denied; and
- 6 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment and close this file.

7 DATED: March 30, 2007.

8
9
10 
11 LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
12 SENIOR JUDGE
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26